Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,002	SPIVACK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanh-Ha Dang	2163
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Thanh-Ha Dang</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ms. YenYun Fu (RN 59,141).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 August 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 3-9, 13-16, 18-22, 24-28, 36-56 and 60-63.  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Thanh-Ha Dang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2163	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/719,002

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to the Applicant's Representative regarding correction of the status of claims 1, 3-9, 13-16, 18-22, 24-28, 36-56 and 60-63 that were not compliantly presented, and about the potential amendment to the claims 1, 3, 21-22, 25, 28, and 60-63 in order to allow the case and the Applicant's Representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has been given for an Examiner's Amendment.